



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/762,680

01/21/2004

Osamu Kobayashi

GENSP047

5247

30426 7590 07/27/2009

STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.

MAIL STATION 2346

1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE

CARROLLTON, TX 75006

EXAMINER

SHAIFER HARRIMAN, DANT B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2434

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/27/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/762,680	Applicant(s) KOBAYASHI, OSAMU	
	Examiner DANT B. SHAFER HARRIMAN	Art Unit 2434	

All Participants:

(1) DANT B. SHAFER HARRIMAN.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 July 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) Marc Hanish.

(4) _____.

Time: 2pm

/Kambiz Zand/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The following was discussed in the interview:

1. Applicant inquired about whether or not the office is expecting a response from the applicant as appeared to the applicant after consulting "pair," after sending in a complete listing of claims as requested by the examiner in a miscellaneous communication on 3/30/2009. The examiner replied that he would speak to his supervisor and contact applicant regarding the delay.